		The little						
	Application No.	Applicant(s)						
Office Astice Domestic	10/076,154	HINO ET AL.						
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit						
	TAN N TRAN	2826						
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. If NO period for reply is expecified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status								
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 F	ebruary 2002 .							
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ Th	is action is non-final.							
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Claims								
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-27</u> is/are pending in the application								
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.								
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8) Claim(s) 1-27 are subject to restriction and/or e	election requirement.							
Application Papers								
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner								
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accept	• — •							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	***	• •						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on		lisapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a) All b) Some * c) None of:								
Certified copies of the priority documents								
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		· · · ———						
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the prior application. 	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	_						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
 a) The translation of the foreign language pro 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti 	* *							
Attachment(s)	-							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)						

Application/Control Number: 10/076,154

Art Unit: 2826

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121.
 - I. Claims 1-10, drawn to a semiconductor device, classified in class 257, subclass 335.
 - II. Claims 11-17,24-27, drawn to a method of manufacturing a semiconductor device, classified in class 438, subclass 270.
 - III. Claim 18-23, drawn to a semiconductor device, classified in class 257, subclass 335.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

A/Inventions II and I, III are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP

806.05(f)). In the instant case, unpatentability of the Group I invention would not necessarily imply unpatentability of the Group II invention, because the device of Group I invention could be made by a process materially different from that of the Group II invention. For example, The process of claim 16 can be materially altered by using an ion implantation process instead of diffusion process in order to form a low concentration and a high concentration opposite conductive type source/drain region.

In the case that Groups I and III are elected, this group of claims has following patentably distinct species of the disclosed invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/076,154

Art Unit: 2826

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: Groups I, claims 1-10, drawn to a semiconductor device, classified in class 257, subclass 335.

Groups III, claims 18-23, drawn to a semiconductor device, classified in class 257, subclass 335.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claim 1 is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/076,154

Art Unit: 2826

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a

Page 4

separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, the fields of search are not co-

extensive and separate examination would be require, restriction for examination purposes as

indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the response to this requirement to be complete must include an 4.

election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37

FR 1.143).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the 5.

examiner should be directed to Tan Tran whose telephone number is (703) 305-3362. The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:30 AM-5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Nathan J. Flynn can be reached on (703) 308-6601. The fax phone numbers for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-7722 for regular

communications and (703) 308-7722 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

tt

Oct 2002



Creation date: 08-08-2003

Indexing Officer: HTON1 - HUAN TON

Team: OIPEBackFileIndexing

Dossier: 10076154

Legal Date: 04-12-2002

Total number of pages: 6

No.	Doccode	Number of pages
1	CTNF	5
2	892	1

Remarks:			

Order of re-scan issued on